



Sh. Gurdev Singh

House No. 644, JLPL, Sector 90-91
Mohali (9815939273)

.....Appellant

Versus

Registered post: Public Information Officer

O/o SDM, Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o SDM, Mohali

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO.1902 OF 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Arjun Grewal, Naib Tehsildar

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.07.2021. Neither the appellant nor the respondent was present and a **show cause notice** was issued to the respondent-PIO.

2. The respondent orally says that available information has already been supplied to the appellant twice.

3. Perusal of case file shows that reply of **show cause notice** issued to PIO has been received in the shape of affidavit in which he states that available information has already been supplied to the appellant vide their letter No.189/Steno, dated 27.02.2020 and again reply to appellant was also given vide letter No.512/Steno, dated 22.07.2020. He further states in his reply that no more information relating to instant RTI is available in their official record.

4. The reply of show cause notice submitted by the respondent-PIO in the Commission is acceded to and the show cause notice stands dropped.

5. The appellant is absent for the 03rd time consecutively.

6. It is assumed that he has received the sought for information from the respondents and he might be satisfied with the same.

7. In view of above the **case is ordered to be disposed of and closed.**

Copies of order be sent to parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap,
s/o Sh. Krishan Lal Kashyap
R/o # 12, Street No. 1, Teacher Colony,
Nawanshahr, Distt. SBS Nagar

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
SBS Nagar

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 637 of 2021
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashayap, the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Harmandeep Singh Clerk

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 18.03.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought "*permission granted to Actors/ Singers Jimi Kaler and Sonia Mann for shooting of their song at Lal Chowk on 17.03.2021, if permission was not granted copy of Section of Law for legal action taken against them*". On not receiving the information, he filed complaint in the Commission on 25.05.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 25.08.2021 i.e. today through CISCO Webex.

3. The respondent orally says that available information has already been provided to the complainant.

4. The complainant orally says that he has not received the information till date.

5. This is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



-02-

Complaint Case No. 637 of 2021

6. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

7. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 02nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
SBS Nagar



Sh. Amarjit Singh, S/o Sh. Balvir Singh
VPO Karnana, Tehsil Nawanshahr
Distt. SBS Nagar

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 646 OF 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Amarjit Singh, the complainant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 04.02.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information which is as under:-

“provide certified information regarding number of all people died/committed suicide during lockdown period of outbreak of Corona -19 in Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali) from 23.03.2020 to 13.07.2020. Proceedings done under Section 174 Cr.pc from 23.03.2020 to 13.07.2020 by Punjab Police in PSs in the District”.

On not receiving the information, he filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The respondent orally says that available information has already been provided to the complainant.

3. The complainant orally says that he has not received the information till date.

4. This is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Contd..2/p..



-02-

Complaint Case No. 646 of 2021

5. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

6. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 02nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application dated 04.02.2021 is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
O/o IGP, Punjab
Ropar Range,
Ropar.



Sh. Amarjit Singh, S/o Sh. Balvir Singh,
R/o VPO Karnana, Tehsil Nawanshahr,
Distt. SBS Nagar (9915247186)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 647 OF 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Amarjit Singh, the complainant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ranjit Singh, ASI

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 04.02.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information which is as under:-

“ provide certified information regarding number of all people died/committed suicide during lockdown period of outbreak of Corona 19 in Distt Fatehgarh Sahib from 23.03.2020 to 13.07.2020. Proceedings done under Section 174 Cr.PC from 23.03.2020 to 13.07.2020 by Punjab Police in PSs in the District

2. On not receiving the information, he filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

3. The respondent orally says that available information has already been provided to the complainant.

4. The complainant orally says that he has not received the information till date.

5. This is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Contd..2/p..



-02-

Complaint Case No. 647 of 2021

6. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

7. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 2nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application dated 04.02.2021 is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
O/o IGP, Punjab
Ludhiana Range,
Ludhiana.



Smt. Shaveta Gajri, C/o Smt. Surinder
Kaur, Village Bharopur, Sirhind
Chandigarh Bye Pass Road,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib - 140406 (6239604209)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o SHO, Police Station, Roza Sharif, Sirhind
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 685 OF 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None the complainant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ranjit Singh, ASI (in the Commission) -
87360834611

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 19.04.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information which is as under:-

“ Seeks copy of status challan u/s 173 Cr PC regarding FIR No. 19 dated 19.02.2020 at PS Roza Sharif, Sirhind. (2) Provide name, designation contact details of IO (3) copy of Action Taken Report against the IO for the delay/no submission of challan (4). Also supply the date by which challan will be presented in the Court.”

On not receiving the information, he filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The respondent orally says that complete pointwise reply has already been sent to the Commission on 14.08.2021 with a copy to the Complainant.

3. The complainant is absent.

4. This is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Contd..2/p..



-02-

Complaint Case No. 685 of 2021

5. An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

6. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 02nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application dated 19.04.2021 is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
O/o SSP,
Fatehgarh Sahib.



Sh. Lakhbir Singh, S/o Sh. Kartar Singh
House No. 70/01, Moon Avenue, Majitha, Road,
Amritsar (9872635236)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Registered post: Public Information Officer,
O/o ADGP, Intelligence,
Sector 77, SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO.0712 OF 2021
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None for the complainant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Chander Shekhar, AIG

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.07.2021.

2. The respondent reiterates that the Intelligence wing is exempted from supplying the information.

3. The complainant is absent today. However, an email is received from him that he was present on cisco webex and his link was disconnected. He further states in his email that he tried to reconnect himself time and again but due to some technical glitch, he failed to do so.

4. This is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Contd..2/p..



-02-

Complaint Case No. 0712 of 2021

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

5. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 02nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application dated 27.04.2021 is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
O/o ADGP, Intelligence,
Sector 77, Mohali.



Sh. Sanjiv Khullar, House No. 37/97,
Mohalla Paramjit Ganj, Kapurthala
(9855864458)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Public Relation Officer
Ferozepur

.....Respondent

COMPLAINANT CASE NO.0774 2021
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.07.2021 vide which the respondent stated that complete information has been provided to the complainant and the complainant was absent.

2. The complainant is absent for the second time consecutively without any intimation to Commission.

3. Examining the RTI of the complainant, it reveals that information sought for by him is a third party information. Moreover, this is a Complaint Case. Therefore, at this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.



-02-

Complaint Case No. 0774 of 2021

5. Accordingly this case is hereby remanded back to First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.
6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a 02nd appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act.
7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant **case is hereby ordered to be disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy for intimation and necessary action along with the RTI application dated 27.04.2021 is forwarded to :-

The First Appellate Authority
District Relation Officer
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Ferozpur.

PS : After the hearing of the court is over, the complainant telephoned in Commission and he is apprised of today's court proceedings accordingly.



Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur,
C/o Advocate Manmohan Katiyal, Advocate
Satinderpal Singh Dhaliwal, Office Near ADC Office,
G.T. Road, Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana - 142026 (8872200515)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Chief Secretary
Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Additional Chief Secretary
Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh

Registered post'
Public Information Officer
o/o The DGP Punjab
Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector 9
Chandigarh

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO. 1932 OF 2021
Through CISCO Webex

Present: (i) Sh. Iqbal Singh, the appellant (in the Commission)
(ii) For the respondent : Sh.Manmehakpal Singh, Constable(7986973371)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.07.2021 vide which PIO, o/o DGP, Punjab was impleaded as respondent no 2 to provide the information. Respondent no. 1 was directed to file written submission.

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.08.2021 i.e. today through CISCO Webex.

3. The respondent orally states that the case was transferred to Crime Branch, Punjab, who, in turn had already supplied the copy of letter No.1405/CB-2/Crime dated 18.04.2019 relating to point No.3 vide their letter No. 1801/CB-2/Crime dated 23.06.2021 and written to AIGP(PERS)-2, Punjab Admin Wing, Chandigarh. He further orally states that information of remaining points of the RTI relates to PIO O/o CPO Punjab and SSP(Rural), Ludhiana. She further says that PIO O/o CPO Punjab and SSP(Rural), Ludhiana.

4. The appellant requests the court to direct the PIO O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh to transfer his RTI to PIOs of O/o CPO Punjab and SSP(Rural), Ludhiana, to supply the information.

Contd..2/p....



-02-

APPEAL CASE NO.1932 OF 2021

5. Each of above public authorities has also separate PIOs. Under Section 6(1)(a) of the RTI Act 2005, a person seeking information has to apply to concerned public authority. It is not the job of the PIO of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Chandigarh to collect and thereafter collate this information from different PIOs of different public authorities and thereafter supply the same to the appellant. I find no merit in the appeal and the same is dismissed. The appellant may, however, approach the concerned PIOs with fresh application(s) if he wants the information.

6. In view of the above, **the case is ordered to be disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Smt. Sunheri Devi, W/o Late Sh. Rameshwar Dutt,
R/o House No. 1062, Preet Colony, Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera Bassi, Distt. Mohali

First Appellate Authority
O/o MC, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera Bassi, Distt. Mohali

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO. 2182 OF 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None for the appellant.
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Girish Verma, EO-cum-PIO for respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.07.2021 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present and a show cause notice was issued to the respondent-PIO.

2. The respondent says that the appellant has suitably been replied on 17-08-2021 and copy of the same is also sent to Commission. He further states that reply of show cause notice issued to him by Commission has also been sent to Commission vide his letter No.858, dated 18.08.2021.

3. While going through the case file, it shows that the respondent has sent reply to the appellant denying the information on the ground that it relates to third party. It is further found that the respondent-PIO has also submitted the reply of show cause notice. Considering the reply of show cause notice submitted by the respondent-PIO, the show cause notice stands dropped.

4. The appellant is absent for the 03rd time consecutively. It is assumed that she has received the reply from the respondents and might be satisfied with the same.

Contd...2/p..



-02-

APPEAL CASE NO. 2182 OF 2021

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant case and hence, **the case is ordered to be disposed of and closed.**

Copy of orders be sent to parties.

Chandigarh
Dated : 25-08-2021

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab